

Appl. No. 09/916,249
Amtd. dated June 04, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 4, 2004

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. With this amendment and as reflected in this listing of claims, original claims 1-18 which now stand rejected, have been canceled. New claims 19-23 are now presented:

Listing of Claims:

1 1. (Canceled).

1 2. (Canceled)

1 3. (Canceled).

1 4. (Canceled).

1 5. (Canceled).

1 6. (Canceled).

1 7. (Canceled).

1 8. (Canceled).

1 9. (Canceled).

1 10. (Canceled).

Appl. No. 09/916,249
Amdt. dated June 04, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 4, 2004

1 11. (Canceled).

1 12. (Canceled).

1 13. (Canceled).

1 14. (Canceled).

1 15. (Canceled).

1 16. (Canceled).

1 17. (Canceled).

1 18. (Canceled).

4/4/05
M.W.
1 ~~19.~~ ¹ *computer-implemented*
1 19. (New) ~~A~~ A method for recognizing compound events depicted in video
2 sequences, said compound events being determined from occurrences of
3 primitive events depicted in the video sequences, wherein the compound events
4 are defined as a combination of the primitive events, the method comprising the
5 steps of:

6 (a) defining primitive event types, said primitive event types including: x =
7 y; Supported(x); RigidlyAttached(x, y); Supports(x, y); Contacts(x, y); and
8 Attached(x, y);
9 (b) defining combinations of the primitive event types as a compound
10 event type, said compound event type being one of: PickUp(x,y,z);
11 PutDown(x,y,z); Stack(w,x,y,z); Unstack(w,x,y,z); Move(w,x,y,z);
12 Assemble(w,x,y,z); and Disassemble(w,x,y,z);

13 (c) inputting, a series of video sequences, said video sequences depicting
14 primitive event occurrences, such occurrences being specified as a set of
15 temporal intervals over which a given primitive event type is true; and
16 (d) determining, the compound event occurrences, such occurrences
17 being specified as the set of temporal intervals over which the compound event
18 type is true, wherein the sets of temporal intervals in steps (c) and (d) are
19 specified as smaller sets of spanning intervals, each spanning interval
20 representing a set of all sub-intervals over which the primitive event type holds
21 and wherein the spanning intervals take the form ${}_{\alpha}[\gamma, [i, j]_{\delta}, {}_{\epsilon}[k, l]_{\zeta}]_{\beta}$, where
22 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta$ are Boolean values, i, j, k , and l are real numbers,
23 ${}_{\alpha}[\gamma, [i, j]_{\delta}, {}_{\epsilon}[k, l]_{\zeta}]_{\beta}$ represents the set of all intervals ${}_{\alpha}[p, q]_{\beta}$ where $i \leq_{\gamma} p \leq_{\delta} j$
24 and $k \leq_{\epsilon} q \leq_{\zeta} l$, ${}_{\alpha}[p, q]_{\beta}$ represents the set of all points r , where $p \leq_{\alpha} r \leq_{\beta} q$, and
25 $x \leq_{\theta} y$ means $x \leq y$ when θ is true and $x < y$ when θ is false.

1 ~~2~~¹ 20. (New) The method according to claim ~~19~~, wherein the compound event type
2 in step (b) is specified as an expression in temporal logic. / Att. A. 4/5/05

1 ~~3~~² 21. (New) The method according to claim ~~20~~, wherein the temporal logic
2 expressions are constructed using the logical connectives $\forall, \exists, \vee, \wedge_R, \Diamond_R$, and \neg ,
3 where R ranges over sets of relations between one-dimensional intervals. Att. A. 4/4/05

1 ~~4~~³ 22. (New) The method according to claim ~~3~~, wherein the relations are $=, <, >, \in, \ni$,
2 $mi, o, oi, s, si, f, fi, d$, and di .

1 ~~5~~⁴ 23. (New) The method according to claim ~~22~~, wherein the compound event
2 occurrences are computed through the use of the following set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(M, p(c_1, \dots, c_n)) &\triangleq \{i \mid p(c_1, \dots, c_n) @ i \in M\} \\ \varepsilon(M, \Phi \vee \Psi) &\triangleq \varepsilon(M, \Phi) \cup \varepsilon(M, \Psi) \\ \varepsilon(M, \forall x \Phi) &\triangleq \bigcup_{i_1 \in \varepsilon(M, \Phi[x := c_1])} \dots \bigcup_{i_n \in \varepsilon(M, \Phi[x := c_n])} i_1 \cap \dots \cap i_n\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 6 \quad & \text{where } C(M) = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} \\
 7 \quad \varepsilon(M, \exists x \Phi) & \triangleq \bigcup_{c \in C(M)} \varepsilon(M, \Phi[x := c]) \\
 8 \quad \varepsilon(M, \neg \Phi) & \triangleq \bigcup_{i'_1 \in \neg i_1} \dots \bigcup_{i'_n \in \neg i_n} i'_1 \cap \dots \cap i'_n \\
 9 \quad & \text{where } \varepsilon(M, \Phi) = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \\
 10 \quad \varepsilon(M, \Phi \wedge_R \Psi) & \triangleq \bigcup_{i \in \varepsilon(M, \Phi)} \bigcup_{j \in \varepsilon(M, \Psi)} \bigcup_{r \in R} g(i, r, j) \\
 11 \quad \varepsilon(M, \Diamond_R \Phi) & \triangleq \bigcup_{i \in \varepsilon(M, \Phi)} \bigcup_{r \in R} \mathcal{D}(r, i)
 \end{aligned}$$

12 where,

13

$$\begin{aligned}
 14 \quad \langle_{\alpha} [\gamma [i, j]_{\delta}, \epsilon [k, l]_{\zeta}]_{\beta} \rangle \triangleq & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{_{\alpha} [\gamma' [i, j']_{\delta'}, \epsilon' [k', l]_{\zeta'}]_{\beta'}\} \\ \text{where } j' = \min(j, l) \\ k' = \max(k, i) \\ \gamma' = \gamma \wedge i \neq -\infty \\ \delta' = \delta \wedge \min(j, l) \neq \infty \wedge (j < l \vee \zeta \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta) \\ \epsilon' = \epsilon \wedge \max(k, i) \neq -\infty \wedge (k > i \vee \gamma \wedge \beta \wedge \alpha) \\ \zeta' = \zeta \wedge l \neq \infty \\ \text{when } i \leq j' \wedge k' \leq l \wedge \\ [i = j' \rightarrow (\gamma' \wedge \delta')] \wedge [k' = l \rightarrow (\epsilon' \wedge \zeta')] \wedge \\ [i = l \rightarrow (\alpha \wedge \beta)] \wedge \\ i \neq \infty \wedge j' \neq -\infty \wedge k' \neq \infty \wedge l \neq -\infty \\ \{\} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

15

16

$$\begin{aligned}
 17 \quad \alpha_1 [\gamma_1 [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1} \cap_{\alpha_2} [\gamma_2 [i_2, j_2]_{\delta_2}, \epsilon_2 [k_2, l_2]_{\zeta_2}]_{\beta_2} \triangleq \\
 18 \quad \langle_{\alpha_1} [\gamma [\max(i_1, i_2), \min(j_1, j_2)]_{\delta}, \epsilon [\max(k_1, k_2), \min(l_1, l_2)]_{\zeta}]_{\beta} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

20 where $\gamma =$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 & i_1 > i_2 \\ \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 & i_1 = i_2 \\ \gamma_2 & i_1 < i_2 \end{cases}$$

21 $\delta =$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 & j_1 < j_2 \\ \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2 & j_1 = j_2 \\ \delta_2 & j_1 > j_2 \end{cases}$$

Appl. No. 09/916,249
 Amdt. dated June 04, 2004
 Reply to Office Action of Feb. 4, 2004

22 $\epsilon = \begin{cases} \epsilon_1 & k_1 > k_2 \\ \epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 & k_1 = k_2 \\ \epsilon_2 & k_1 < k_2 \end{cases}$

23 $\zeta = \begin{cases} \zeta_1 & l_1 < l_2 \\ \zeta_1 \wedge \zeta_2 & l_1 = l_2 \\ \zeta_2 & l_1 > l_2 \end{cases}$

24 when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 = \beta_2$

25 {} otherwise

26

27

28

29

30 $\neg_a [_{\gamma} [i, j]_{\delta}, _{\epsilon} [k, l]_{\zeta}]_{\beta} \triangleq \left(\begin{array}{l} \langle_a [_{\tau} [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}, \tau [-\infty, k]_{-\epsilon}]_{\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_a [_{\tau} [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}, \neg_{\zeta} [l, \infty]_{\tau}]_{\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_a [_{\tau} [-\infty, i]_{-\gamma}, \tau [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}]_{\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_a [_{-\delta} [j, \infty]_{\tau}, \tau [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}]_{\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_{-\alpha} [_{\tau} [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}, \tau [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}]_{\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_a [_{\tau} [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}, \tau [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}]_{-\beta} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_{-\alpha} [_{\tau} [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}, \tau [-\infty, \infty]_{\tau}]_{-\beta} \rangle \end{array} \right)$

31

32 $\text{Span} ([_{\alpha_1} [_{\gamma_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, _{\epsilon_1} [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}, [_{\gamma_2} [i_2, j_2]_{\delta_2}, _{\epsilon_2} [k_2, l_2]_{\zeta_2}]_{\beta_2}] \triangleq \left(\begin{array}{l} \langle_{\alpha_1} [_{\gamma_1} [i_1, j]_{\delta}, _{\epsilon} [k, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_{\alpha_1} [_{\gamma_1} [i_1, j]_{\delta}, _{\epsilon} [k, l_2]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_{\alpha_2} [_{\gamma_2} [i_2, j]_{\delta}, _{\epsilon} [k, l_1]_{\zeta_2}]_{\beta_2} \rangle \cup \\ \langle_{\alpha_2} [_{\gamma_2} [i_2, j]_{\delta}, _{\epsilon} [k, l_2]_{\zeta_2}]_{\beta_2} \rangle \end{array} \right)$

33 where $j = \min(j_1, j_2)$

34 $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$

35 $\delta = [(\delta_1 \wedge j_1 \leq j_2) \vee (\delta_2 \wedge j_1 \geq j_2)]$

36 $\epsilon = [(\epsilon_1 \wedge k_1 \geq k_2) \vee (\epsilon_2 \wedge k_1 \leq k_2)]$

37

38

39

40

41 $\mathcal{D}(=, \mathbf{i}) \triangleq \{\mathbf{i}\}$

Appl. No. 09/916,249
 Amdt. dated June 04, 2004
 Reply to Office Action of Feb. 4, 2004

42 $\mathcal{D}(<_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [{}_{-\beta_1 \wedge \neg \alpha_2 \wedge \epsilon_1} [k_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}, \mathsf{T} [-\infty, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

43 $\mathcal{D}(>_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\mathsf{T} [-\infty, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}, \mathsf{T} [-\infty, j_1]_{\neg \alpha_1 \wedge \neg \beta_2 \wedge \delta_1}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

44 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{m}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{-\beta_1} [\epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}, \mathsf{T} [-\infty, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

45 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{mi}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\mathsf{T} [-\infty, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}, \gamma_1 [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}]_{\neg \alpha_1} \rangle$

46 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{o}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\alpha_1 \wedge \neg \alpha_2 \wedge \gamma_1 [i_1, l_1]_{\beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \zeta_1}, \neg \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \epsilon_1 [k_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

47 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{oi}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\mathsf{T} [-\infty, j_1]_{\neg \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \delta_1}, \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \gamma_1 [i_1, l_1]_{\beta_1 \wedge \neg \beta_2 \wedge \zeta_1}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

48 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{s}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_1} [\gamma_1 [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \neg \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \epsilon_1 [k_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

49 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{si}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_1} [\gamma_1 [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \mathsf{T} [-\infty, l_1]_{\beta_1 \wedge \neg \beta_2 \wedge \zeta_1}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

50 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{f}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\mathsf{T} [-\infty, j_1]_{\neg \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \delta_1}, [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1} \rangle$

51 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{fi}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\alpha_1 \wedge \neg \alpha_2 \wedge \gamma_1 [i_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1} \rangle$

52 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{d}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\mathsf{T} [-\infty, j_1]_{\neg \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \delta_1}, \neg \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \epsilon_1 [k_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

53 $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{di}_{\alpha_1} [i_1, j_1]_{\delta_1}, \epsilon_1 [k_1, l_1]_{\zeta_1}]_{\beta_1}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \{\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{F}\}} \langle_{\alpha_2} [\alpha_1 \wedge \neg \alpha_2 \wedge \gamma_1 [i_1, \infty]_{\mathsf{T}}, \mathsf{T} [-\infty, l_1]_{\beta_1 \wedge \neg \beta_2 \wedge \zeta_1}]_{\beta_2} \rangle$

54 and,

55 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{i}, r, \mathbf{j}) \triangleq \bigcup_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{G}(r^{-1}, \mathbf{j})} \bigcup_{\mathbf{i}' \in \mathbf{i} \cap \mathbf{r}} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j}' \in \mathfrak{G}(r, \mathbf{i})} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{j} \cap \mathbf{i}'} \text{Span}(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')$.